



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6767
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidative Response of Hydrilla verticillata (L.f.) Royle under Short Term Exposure to Mercuric Chloride
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Place in the Introduction, the response mechanisms specific for mercury in plants. Exchange units (ppm) by the units (mg / L) that belong to the international system of units.	In the introduction, response mechanisms specific for mercury have been incorporated in lines 53-57 of Revised MS. As advised, ppm has been replaced with mg/L through out the text as well as in Fig. legends.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The statistical test of LSD is not considered the most rigorous, without being mandatory, I think it would be better for the manuscript using the Duncan test or Tukey.	No doubt Duncan test or Tukey tests are now a days considered as the most rigorous tests. Even then, many people use LSD test for determining level of significance in these types of studies. Therefore, LSD test (as performed in this study) may kindly be considered and accepted.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		